



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**WAVE SPECTRA AND SHORELINE CHANGE STUDIES BY
REMOTE SENSING**

MAGED MAHMOUD MARGHANY

FSAS 2000 1

**WAVE SPECTRA AND SHORELINE CHANGE STUDIES BY
REMOTE SENSING**

By

MAGED MAHMOUD MARGHANY

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy in the Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

February 2000



Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Univeristi Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

WAVE SPECTRA AND SHORELINE CHANGE STUDIES BY REMOTE SENSING

By

MAGED MAHMOUD MARGHANY

February 2000

Chairman: Dr. Zelina Zaiton Ibrahim

Faculty: Science and Environmental Studies

Waves play an important role in shoreline configuration. The wave pattern can induce erosion and sedimentation. Wave pattern studies using radar imagery have a potential application for coastal areas. This study investigates if the shoreline change can be modeled by wave spectra information extracted from radar images. The study area is Kuala Terengganu, located on the East Coast of Peninsular Malaysia.

Mathematical modeling was carried out to extract wave spectra from radar (ERS-1 and AIRSAR/TOPSAR) data. The two-dimension Fast Fourier Transform (2-DFFT) was applied over selected windows on radar data. The results of the transform were wavelength and power spectra. The quasi-linear modulation model was used to map the radar wave spectra to ground-truth wave spectra to obtain the actual wave

spectra. The result showed that there was a significant difference between the quasi-linear model and the velocity bunching model. It suggests that the AIRSAR/TOPSAR data are better than ERS-1 data for wave spectra investigation. This is probably because the Doppler shift effects are smaller in AIRSAR/TOPSAR data than in ERS-1 data.

The wave spectra information was then used to predict shoreline change based on wave refraction and sediment transport. Both the wave spectra pattern derived from radar data and the wave spectra change derived from ship observations, were used to model the shoreline sedimentation and erosion pattern. Actual shoreline change was estimated from remotely sensed data by using vectorization, overlaying techniques and field measurements. The estimated shoreline change gave a rate of erosion along Chendering of 3.5 m/year between 1959 and 1994. The rate of erosion was 1 m/year along the Sultan Mahmud Airport shoreline between 1970 and 1996. The areas of erosion are similar to observations made in the field. The predicted shoreline change, from wave modeling, gave a rate of erosion from Batu Burok to Batu Rakit of less than 2 m/year and a rate of erosion to the south of Chendering of 4 m/year. The predicted and estimated result showed that the shoreline south of Chendering was always dominated by erosion throughout the year. However, overall, the beach changes along the Terengganu shoreline studied was in a state of equilibrium. The periods of erosion were balanced by periods of accretion.

In conclusion, radar data (ERS-1 and AIRSAR/TOPSAR) can be used to extract wave spectra for shoreline change modeling. Verification can be done with the assistance of other sources of data such as ship observation, ground truth data, aerial photography, other remotely sensed data, beach profiling, and sediment sampling. The

combination of classical techniques, predictive modeling methods, and remote sensing technology as used in this study allows for better understanding of the interaction between ocean wave and shoreline change over a larger spatial scale and in a shorter time frame.

Abstrak tesis yang di kemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi syarat untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

GELOMBANG-GELOMBANG DAN KESAN GELOMBANG OLEH KAWALAN JAUH

Oleh

MAGED MAHMOUD MARGHANY

Februari 2000

Pengerusi : Dr. Zelina Zaiton Ibrahim

Fakulti : Sains Alam dan Kajian Sekitar

Gelombang-gelombang mempunyai peranan penting di dalam penyusunan garis pantai. Bentuk gelombang dapat menyebabkan hakisan dan pemendapan. Bentuk gelombang dikaji menggunakan gambaran-gambaran radar yang berpotensi kepada hal mengenakan kawasan pantai. Kajian ini menyelidiki perubahan garisan pantai dapat menjadi model melalui penerangan gelombang spektra diperoleh daripada gambar radar. Kawasa kajian ini ialah Kuala Trengganu, Pantai Timur Semenanjung Malaysia.

Model matematika didapati untuk memperoleh gelombang spektra daripada data radar (ERS-1 dan AIRSAR/TOPSAR). Dua dimensi mengubah bentuk data fourier (2-

DFFT) telah diguna seluruh jendela dipilih oleh data radar. Hasil daripada mengubah bentuk ialah panjang gelombang dan spektra gelombang. Garis quasi model perubah digunakan untuk memetakan radar spektra gelombang kepada tanah sebenar untuk memperoleh spektra gelombang sebenar. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat perbezaan signifikan diantara model kecepatan gabungan. Ini mencadangkan bahawa data AIRSAR/TOPSAR adalah lebih baik daripada data penyelidikan gelombang spektra. Hal ini mungkin disebabkan oleh kesan pertukaran Doppler lebih kecil dalam data AIRSAR/TOPSAR berbanding dengan data ERS-1.

Maklumat panjang gelombang telah diguna untuk meramalkan perubahan asas garis pantai pada pembiasan gelombang dan pengangkutan endapan. Kedua pola spektra gelombang didapat daripada data radar dan perubahan spektra gelombang didapat daripada peninjauan kapal laut, model pemendapan garis pantai dan pola hakisan. Garis pantai sebenar berubah dianggarkan daripada data indera jauh dengan menggunakan vektor, teknik penutupan dan ukuran tapak. Anggaran perubahan garis pantai memberi purata hakisan sepanjang Chendering adalah 3.5 m/tahun diantara tahun 1959 dan 1994. Purata hakisan ialah 1 m/tahun sepanjang garis pantai lapangan terbang Sultan Mahmud diantara tahun 1970 dan 1996. Kawasan hakisan serupa kepada pengawasan dibuat di tapak. Peramalan perubahan garis pantai, daripada model gelombang, memberi purata hakisan daripada Batu Burok kepada Batu Rakit lebih kecil daripada 2 m/tahun dan purata hakisan Chendering Selatan adalah 4 m/tahun. Keputusan peramalan dan anggaran menunjukkan bahawa garis pantai Chendering Selatan selalu mempengaruhi hakisan sepanjang tahun. Bagaimanapun, secara keseluruhan kajian, perubahan pantai

sepanjang garis pantai Terengganu dikaji dalam bahagian keseimbangan. Masa hakisan adalah seimbang dengan masa permukaan baru.

Kesimpulan, data radar (ERS-1 dan AIRSAR/TOPSAR) dapat diguna untuk memperoleh spektra gelombang bagi perubahan model garis pantai. Vertikasi dapat dibuat dengan bantuan sumber data lain seperti peninjauan kapal laut, data sebenar bumi, fotograf udara, data indera jauh dan tampang muka pantai, dan contoh endapan. Gabungan teknik terbaik, menganggar kaedah model, dan teknologi kapal angkasa (gambar radar) diguna dalam kajian ini dibenarkan bagi pemahaman lebih baik pada interaksi diantara gelombang samudera dan perubahan garis pantai seluruh skala angkasa lebih besar dan dalam bingkai masa lebih pendek.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Allah Almighty for enabling me to further my study to Ph.D degree and to complete this dissertation. Everything has been done by the action of Allah who has given patience to me to strive for my goal.

In the course of completing this dissertation, I have sought the assistance of several individuals. They have contributed significantly to the success of my project. I would like to thank them and mention their names here. First, I would like to thank Dr Zelina Zation Ibrahim who gave me a lot of support and who has mooted the idea for this research. I am very indebted to her. Without her help to get the funding for this project, this study would never be completed. I would also like to express my gratitude and thanks to the committee member, Professor Dr. Mohd. Ibrahim Hj. Mohamed for his sincere support. Without Professor Dr. Mohd. constructive comments and help, I would not be able to obtain the fund for this project. I would also like to express my gratitude to Dr. Shattri Mansor from Faculty of Engineering for his support in the study of radar imagery data and software for image processing.

I would also like to thank the Head of Department of Environmental Studies Dr. Ramadzani Abdullah who provided the funding for this project.

Subsequently, I would like to thank the center of remote sensing at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, especially, Professor Dr. Ibrahim Seenii Mohd., Professor Dr

Mazlan Hashim, and Mr. Samsudin Ahmad for their support in obtaining ERS-1 data and their assistance in image processing.

Last but not least, I am very grateful to Mr. Zakari Gazali and Mr. Mohd. Zul Kafil for their support and assistance.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 10 February, 2000 to conduct the final examination of Maged Mahmoud Marghany on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Wave Spectra and Shoreline Change Studies by Remote Sensing" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

ZELINA BINTI ZAITON IBRAHIM, PhD.

Lecturer
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia
(Chairman)

MOHD. IBRAHIM Hj. MOHAMED, PhD.


Professor
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia
(Member)

SHATTRI BIN MANSOR, PhD.

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia
(Member)

MOHD. IBRAHIM SEENI, PhD.


Professor
Faculty of Geoinformation Science and Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
(External Examiner)



MOHD. GHAZALI MOHYIDIN, Ph.D.
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School


Date: **2 MAR 2000**

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the universiti Putra Malaysia and was accepted as fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.


KAMIS AWANG, Ph.D.
Associate Professor,
Dean of Graduate School,
Universiti Putra Malaysia
11 MAY 2000

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.



(MAGED MAHMOUD MARGHANY)

Date: 11/3/2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ABSTRAK.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	viii
APPROVAL SHEETS.....	x
DECLARATION	xii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xviii

CHAPTER

I	INTRODUCTION.....	1
	Background.....	1
	Statement of the Problem.....	3
	Objective.....	4
II	LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
	Waves and Wave Effects.....	6
	Wave Spectra.....	8
	Wave Spectra Models.....	9
	Wave Generation Mechanisms.....	12
	Measurements of Waves.....	14
	Nearshore Waves.....	15
	Waves Refraction.....	15
	Wave Dissipation.....	17
	Wave Induced Current.....	18
	Wave Effects.....	20
	Sediment Transport.....	20
	Beach Profile.....	23
	Coastline Change Studies.....	26
	Principles of Radar Remote Sensing.....	32
	Principle of Radar Observation.....	34
	Synthetic Aperture Radar.....	35
	Factors Effect on Radar Backscatter.....	39
	SAR Sensors.....	42
	Platform and Airborne Radar.....	42
	SAR Imaging of Ocean Wave.....	44
	Hydrodynamics effects.....	46
	Electromagnetic effects.....	46
	Motion Effects.....	47
	Wave Spectrum Extraction from SAR.....	50
	Linear Theory.....	50
	Nonlinear Imaging Theory.....	52
	Wave Spectra Estimation Methods	55



	SAR Wave Spectra Modulation.....	58
	Algorithms used to extract wave spectra.....	61
	Wavelength.....	63
	Direction.....	66
	Review of Work Done on Coastal Water	
	off Kuala Terengganu.....	68
	Morphology Studies.....	68
	Wind Patterns.....	69
	Wave Patterns.....	71
	Shoreline Change.....	73
III	METHODOLOGY	77
	Description of Study Area.....	77
	Data Collection.....	78
	Field Work.....	79
	Sediment Analysis.....	80
	Profile Surveys.....	84
	Image Processing	85
	SAR Wave Imaging	85
	The Estimation of Wave Spectra from	
	Radar Data.....	85
	Model for Wave Spectra Analysis, Wave Travel,	
	Sediment Transport and Shoreline Change.....	89
	Wave Spectra Analysis.....	89
	Wave Spectra Modulation.....	92
	Significant Wave Height Model.....	94
	Wave Spectra Energy.....	99
	Wave Travel.....	102
	Longshore Sediment Transport.....	106
	Shoreline Change.....	110
	Shoreline Change due to Sediment Transport	110
	Volumetric Changes of Beach Profile.....	112
	Shoreline Change from Remote Sensing.....	112
VI	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	117
	Offshore Ship Observations	118
	Beta – Rayleigh Distribution.....	118
	Extremal Significant Wave Height Analysis.....	123
	Wave Energy Spectra.....	128
	Discussion.....	135
	ERS-1 and AIRSAR Wave Spectra.....	144
	Wavelength Spectra.....	144
	Wavenumber Spectra.....	150
	ERS-1 and AISAR Wave Spectra Direction.....	153
	Azimuth Cut-Off.....	156

Comparison between Wave Model Spectra, Quasi liner Spectra and Velocity Bunching.....	162
Discussion.....	168
Radar Wave Spectra.....	168
Nonlinearity of Radar Data.....	172
Wave Spectra Modulation.....	175
Comparison between Wave Model,Quasi-linear Spectra and Velocity Bunching.....	179
Nearshore Waves.....	182
Wave Travel.....	182
Longshore Current.....	189
Volume Change of Sediment Transport.....	199
Discussion.....	202
Wave Refraction Pattern.....	202
Variation of Refraction Coefficient and Longshore Radiation Stress.....	203
Longshore Current and Sediment Transport.....	206
Shoreline Volume Change Model.....	209
Wave Spectra Data.....	209
Remotely Sensed Data.....	212
Beach Profiles.....	220
Beach Sediment Characteristics.....	222
Discussion.....	231
Beach Profile Change.....	235
Beach Profile and Sediment Characteristics.....	236
Immersed Weight and Porosity.....	237
Observed and predicted Shorline.....	239
V SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	242
Wave Spectra Pattern.....	243
Wave Spectra Effects.....	244
Recommendations.....	247
REFERENCES.....	249
VITA.....	272

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. ERS-1 AIRSAR/TOPSAR Parameters	43
2. Data Collection and their Purpose.....	76
3. Data used for Shoreline Change.....	110
4. Remote Sensing Data for Shoreline Change.....	114
5. Significant Wave Height Distributions.....	122
6. Correlation of Extremal Significant Wave Height Distribution among a Season.....	127
7. Maximum of Extremal Significant Wave Height with Different Models.....	127
8. Probability Occurrence of 100Year Return Values of Significant Wave Height.....	128
9. Maximum Wind Wave Spectra Energy Peak.....	132
10. Offshore and Onshore Wavelength.....	149
11. Average ERS-1 Offshore Wavenumber Spectra.....	150
12. Average ERS-1 and AIRSAR Onshore Wave Spectra.....	153
13. Correlation Analysis between Modeled Significant Wave Height and Estimated Significant Wave Height from Radar Imageries.....	161
14. Comparison of Density Spectra Peaks Simulated by Different Models.....	168
15. Wind Stress and Wavelength Estimated from ERS-1 and AIRSAR/TOPSAR.....	168
16. Average Wavelength Spectra Derived from Radar data and Wave Model.....	173

17. Correlation between SAR wavelength and Modeled Wavelength.....	173
18. Significant relation between Measured Cut-off and Modeled Cut-off.....	189
19. Comparison between Average Hs Estimated from Wave Model, Quasi-linear Transform and Least Square Model.....	176
20. Significant Differences between Wave Spectra Models	180
21. Significant Difference between Velocity Bunching Model and Quasi-linear	181
22. Longshore Current Velocities and Direction.....	194
23. Net Volume Rate Change of the Sediment Transport.....	201
24. Comparison between Predicted and Observed Shoreline Change.....	241

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Electromagnetic Spectrum and Radar Bands.....	33
2. Scattering Mechanism.....	37
3. Bragg Scatter	38
4. Bottom Topography of Coastal Waters of Kuala Terengganu.....	77
5. Location of Field Sampling along Shoreline of Kuala Terengganu.....	81
6. Sieving Analysis Form.....	82
7. Procedure Used to Estimate Wave Spectra.....	87
8. Output of 2 - DFFT on ERS-1 and AIRSAR/TOPSAR DATA.....	88
9. Model Concept Used in this Study.....	90
10. Fourier Analysis to Extract Wavelength and Wave Direction.....	91
11. Shoreline Change Model	111
12. Steps for Georeference Database.....	114
13. Overlaying Technique for Shoreline Change.....	116
14. Beta Rayleigh Distribution during the Southwest Monsoon.....	119
15. Beta Rayleigh Distribution during the Northeast Monsoon.....	120
16. Beta Rayleigh Distribution during the Transitional Periods.....	121
17. Return period of Significant Wave Height (a) Southwest and Northeast Monsoon (b) Transitional period.....	125

18. Probability Occurrence of Significant Wave height during Southwest, Northeast Monsoon And Transitional Periods.....	126
19. Wind Wave Spectra Energy during Southwest Monsoon	129
20. Wind Wave Spectra Energy during Northeast Monsoon	130
21. Wind Wave Spectra Energy during Transitional Period.....	131
22. Time Series Analysis of wind Wave Spectra.....	133
23. Time series Analysis of Wave Energy Derived from Airy Theory.....	134
24. Frequency Distribution of Wave Energy with Direction	136
25. Probability Distribution Function of Significant Wave Height Occurrence during Northeast Monsoon.....	137
26. Distribution of Wind Speed and Significant Wave Height.....	139
27. Nearshore Significant Wave Height.....	141
28. ERS-1 Wave Spectra in August 1993.....	145
29. ERS-1 Wave Spectra in September 1993.....	146
30. ERS-1 Wave Spectra in October 1993.....	147
31. AIRSAR/TOPSAR Wave Spectra in December 1996.....	148
32. Average offshore Wave Spectra Extracted from ERS-1 Data.....	151
33. Average Nearshore Wave Spectra Extracted from ERS-1 Data.....	152
34. Wave Spectra Direction Derived from ERS-1 Data.....	154
35. Wave Spectra Direction Derived from AIRSAR/TOPSAR Data	155
36. Regression Models of Azimuth Cut-off Wavelength and Measured Cut-off Wavelength in August, September and October 1993, Respectively	157
37. Regression Models of Azimuth Cut-off Wavelength and Measured Cut-off Wavelength in December 1996.....	158
38. Regression Model of ERS-1 Significant Wave Height and Wave model Significant Wave Height in August, September and October 1993, Respectively	159

39. Regression Model of AIRSAR Significant Wave Height and Wave Model Significant Wave Height in December 1996	160
40. Offshore Wave Spectra Simulated from Wave Model, Quasi-linear and Velocity Bunching.....	177
41. Nearshore Wave Spectra Simulated from Wave Model, Quasi-linear and Velocity Bunching in August, September, October 1993 and December 1996, Respectively.....	164
42. Wave Spectra Energy in the Month of August, September and October 1993, Respectively.....	166
43. Wave Spectra Energy in December 1996.....	167
44. (a) Weak Linear Regression and (b) Least Square Fit Results.....	174
45. Wave refraction Pattern during Northeast Monsoon.....	183
46. Wave Refraction Pattern during Southwest Monsoon.....	184
47. Wave Refraction Pattern during Transitional Period.....	186
48. Wave Refraction Pattern Modeled from ERS-1 Data in August, September and October 1993, Respectively.....	187
49. Wave Refraction Pattern Modeled from (a) Ship Observation Data and (b) AIRSAR/TOPSAR Data in December 1996.....	188
50. Longshore Current Velocity during Northeast Monsoon	190
51. Longshore Current Velocity during Southwest Monsoon.....	191
52. Longshore Current Velocity during Transitional Period.....	192
53. Longshore Current Vectors during Northeast Monsoon, Southwest Monsoon and Transitional Period.....	193
54. Longshore Current Vectors Simulated from Quasi-linear and Wave Model in December 1996.....	196
55. Longshore Current Vectors Simulated from Quasi-linear and Wave Model in August, September and October 1993.....	197

56. Longshore Current Velocity Vector Simulated from ERS-1 data and AIRSAR/TOPSAR Data.....	198
57. Volume Rate Change of Sediment transport (a) Ship Observation (b) Radar Data.....	200
58. Wave Refraction during Northeast Monsoon, Southwest Monsoon and Transitional Period.....	204
59. Distribution of Wave Refraction Coefficient and Energy along the Coastal Water of Chendering.....	205
60. Shoreline Change Model (a) Monsoon periods (b) ERS-1 Data and (c) Comparison between Aerial Photography, Quasi-linear, Wave model and Ship Observation along Chendering Shoreline.....	210
61. Shoreline Change Model from (a) Ship Observation (b) AIRSAR/TOPSAR, Aerial Photography, Wave Model and Quasi-linear Model along the Shoreline of Kuala Terengganu.....	213
62. Shoreline Change Estimated from Aerial Photography and Topographic Map during (a) 1959 to 1980 and (b) 1959 to 1994.....	214
63. Shoreline Change along Marang to Headland of Chendearing during (a) 1970 to 1994 and (b) 1970 to October 1993.....	216
64. Shoreline Change along Kuala Terengganu.....	217
65. Volume Rate Change Estimated from Remotely Sensed Data.....	219
66. Beach Profile during March and December 1997.....	221
67. Sediment Sorting and Grain Size in March and December 1996	223
68. Comparison between Tangent slope and Sorting and Mean Grain Size.....	225
69. Comparison between Sorting and Mean Grain Size with Slope along the Cross-distance.....	226
70. Regression Model of Sorting and Grain Size with Tangent Slope.....	228

71. Regression Model of Beach Slope, Wave Energy and Volume Transport Rate.....	229
72. Beach Volume Change.....	230
73. Distribution of Volume Transport Estimated from Porosity.....	232
74. Porosity, Volume Transport and Immersed Weight along Coastline of Kuala Terengganu.....	238

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Background

The study of the dynamics of ocean wave is always a challenging and intriguing process. Features of ocean waves are complex. It is not easy to understand ocean waves because there are many factors controlling them. Scientists study the factors separately but this will give them an imperfect answer. Scientists consider the waves as a most significant parameter of the coastal zone. Waves are normally the major energy input in the coastal zone. This energy is larger than the energy of water circulation and tide.

In the South China Sea, tide and tidal currents have small amplitude variations as compared to wave. For instance, the tidal height amplitude is less than 0.8 m (Taira et al., 1996) while the maximum wave height in the South China Sea is 3 m during the northeast monsoon (Maged and Ibrahim, 1996). Tidal current is also slower than wave motion. For instance, Taira et al., (1996) and Maged et al., (1998) found that tidal current speed in the coast of South China Sea is approximately 1 m/s. Maged et al., (1998) found that the maximum wavelength is 170 m. The estimated wave speed as function of this wavelength would be 10 m/s. This means that waves have more energy input in the coastal zone of the South China Sea. This is because of the fact that wave energy is a function of the second power of wave height and proportional directly to wavelength and wave velocity (Komar, 1976, and Robert, 1987).

Waves travel in many different directions as compared to tide and currents. Due to the incident angle of wave propagation, longshore currents occur. This can lead to sediment transport along the shoreline, which subsequently will cause erosion or sedimentation. Wave measurements and observations have been made from ships, as well as from onshore and offshore stations. These classical methods of measurements are unable to cover many factors of interest and are unable to investigate the wave interaction with the coastal area on a large scale. For instance, classical methods cannot be used to study a complicated coastal process such as the interaction between wave refraction, diffraction, reflection, and wave current interaction. Remote sensing techniques can cover large areas, and can image the complicated coastal process to provide information that includes wave and shoreline interaction, which cannot be observed by classical methods (buoy, ships, etc). This information is vital to the study of coastal erosion and sediment transport.

Microwave remote sensing has an advantage over the other types of remote sensing particularly in investigating wave spectra. The Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) has been proven accurate for recording wave spectra image over the ocean (Trevor, 1990). Hasselmann and Hasselman (1991) reviewed the potential and proven applications of radar satellite image in the coastal areas and over the ocean. Wave investigations by SAR image take place due to the interest of the scientists and researchers (Hasselmann and Hasselmann, 1991 and Vachon et al., 1994).

Wave information has a significant role for shoreline configuration. The classical method of visual observation of wave could contain errors, which could induce misunderstanding on the nature of the problem. The longshore currents